



Modelling of Innovative Approaches for Drowning Prevention: Customized CNNs and Optimization of Binary Chimps for Early Detection

Muhammad Aftab Hayat ^{a,*}, Yang Guotian  ^b, Shams ur Rehman  ^c

^a*School of Control and Computer Engineering, North China Electric Power University, Beijing, 102206, China.*

^b*School of Control and Computer Engineering, North China Electric Power University, Beijing 102206, China.*

^c*Department of Computer Science, HITEC University, Taxila, 47080, Pakistan*

Abstract

Among the top five global causes of death for children ages one to fourteen is drowning. Drowning is the third most common cause of unintentional mortality, according to data from the World Health Organization (WHO). Existing drowning detection systems including the wearable and camera based approaches have proven to face various limitations such as restricted field view, environmental sensitivity, delayed responses and limited applicability in real world. Moreover, many existing approaches only focus on abnormal motion instead of accurately identifying the drowning behavior which is generally subtle and motionless. These limitations highlight the need for more reliable, feasible and real time drowning system. It is becoming inevitable to design a drowning detection system to protect swimmers, especially kids. This research provides an early drowning detection method based on computer vision and deep learning approach. Using a public available dataset we trained Residual Block 3 and Residual Block 4 of convolutional neural networks (CNNs). The proposed architecture achieved 97.6% accuracy with a training time of 3.9137 seconds after feature optimization, which demonstrated a remarkable performance for both prediction precision and computational capacity.

Keywords: Drowning detection, Binary chimp, Residual block, Data augmentation, Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs).

Article Information:

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.71426/jasm.v1.i1.pp1-8>

Received: 28 November 2025 | Revised: 26 December 2025 | Accepted: 29 December 2025

Copyright ©2025 Author(s) et al.

This is an open-access article distributed under the Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International (CC BY-NC 4.0)

1. Introduction

The drowning ranks third globally among children and young adults aged 1–14 years for unintentional deaths, with children under the age of five being most vulnerable [1]. Worldwide, there are thought to be 236,000 drowning deaths per year [2]. Drowning ranks among the top five causes of death for children between the ages of one and fourteen in 48 out of the 85 nations [3]. Accordingly, the number of drowning deaths will rise as the population grows and more homes and hotels with pools are built. Governments and groups have conducted many inquiries to determine the best course of action for saving lives [4]. Some of these strategies include educating parents about the risks of drowning through child monitoring programs, advocating for the fencing or draining of backyard swimming pools and garden ponds, and stepping up oversight of swimming in

lakes, rivers, and beaches to lower the number of incidents. Regrettably, these remedies are deemed inadequate and simplistic [5].

1.1. Major contributions

The major contributions of this article are summarized as follows.

- A customized deep learning architecture based on Residual Block 3 and Residual Block 4 is proposed.
- Features extracted from residual blocks 3 and 4 are fused using a serial-based feature fusion strategy.
- Binary Chimp Optimization (BCO) is applied to reduce feature dimensionality and computational complexity.
- Multiple ML classifiers are evaluated to validate the effectiveness of the proposed hybrid DL framework.
- The proposed framework integrates multilevel feature extraction, fusion, and optimization to improve

*Corresponding author

Email address: aftabsukhera@gmail.com (Muhammad Aftab Hayat), ygt@ncepu.edu.cn (Yang Guotian), shams.rehman@hitecuni.edu.pk (Shams ur Rehman).

accuracy and generalizability across diverse aquatic environments.

2. Related Work

An intelligent automated monitoring system can be put in place to effectively reduce drowning and ensure swimming pool safety. There are two groups of techniques that fall under the umbrella of automatic drowning detection [6]. The first category's methods center on having swimmers wear sensor equipment that is fastened to them via goggles or a bracelet. These sensors can assess things like heart rate, blood oxygen content, motion, hydraulic pressure, and depth to keep an eye on the behavior of the swimmer [7]. The second category consists of vision-based techniques in which swimmers are observed using overhead or underwater cameras, and incidents of drowning are identified from the camera's output using ML algorithms [8]. However, in the early stages of drowning, drowning victims are extremely silent; therefore, these techniques are not very reliable [9]. Additionally, some researchers categorize normal swimmers and drowningers using deep neural networks [10]. However, drowning is a rather uncommon emergency mishap. Few opportunities exist for video cameras to capture drowning events. Furthermore, the drowning video is difficult to obtain and involves people's privacy. Hence, there aren't many videos of drowning [11].

The majority of these studies use simulative drowning behavior to extract characteristics of drowning behavior and perform supervised classification. Nevertheless, the symptoms of drowning are complicated, and very few people experience them. Videos that simulate drowning are neither authentic nor reliable since it is difficult for anyone to mimic drowning activity properly [12]. We can create drowning detection systems with greater intelligence thanks to developments in physical equipment. Drowning detection technologies make swimming pools safer, relieve lifeguard workloads, and increase swimmer comfort [13]. IP cameras are employed as network-edge devices in pool surveillance systems, and videos are uploaded via the network to servers and lifeguards for processing. The swimmers' privacy may be compromised by underwater videos, which must be uploaded to a server for processing and storage [14]. This process uses more network bandwidth and storage space, which may make it impossible for the server to react quickly to drowning incidents.

There are various crucial processes involved in developing a machine-learning-based classification model for drowning detection [15]. First, a labeled dataset containing both drowning and non-drowning events is gathered to guarantee diversity and applicability to the intended deployment context. Resizing images or videos, standardizing pixel values, and applying data augmentation methods for increased variability are examples of later data preprocessing tasks. A crucial stage in the process is feature extraction, which involves extracting pertinent features from the data; for example, histogram of oriented gradients (HOG) [16]. One selects a suitable machine learning algorithm, like Random Forests or Support Vector Machines (SVM), for categorization. After dividing the dataset into training and validation sets, the model is trained, and its

hyperparameters are adjusted for the best results. On a different test set, evaluation metrics such as accuracy, precision, recall, and F1 score are used to evaluate the model's efficacy. Based on the evaluation results, fine-tuning might be required, which would entail changing the hyperparameters or gathering more data [17]. Ethics and privacy must be taken into account at every stage of the process, especially when working with sensitive data. Consistent updates and retraining with fresh data add to the model's durability and efficacy [18].

The use of computer vision techniques for drowning detection entails utilizing image processing methods to create an automated system that can recognize drowning episodes. Getting a well-annotated dataset with a variety of drowning and non-drowning scenario instances is an important first step. Following that, preprocessing operations are performed on the photos or video frames, such as scaling and normalization to guarantee constant pixel values [19]. After features are extracted, the labeled dataset is used to train an appropriate classification method, which frequently uses machine learning or deep learning models [20, 21]. In many visual tasks nowadays, deep learning has demonstrated remarkable success. Numerous deep learning-based techniques for visual anomaly detection (VAD) are also proposed by researchers [22]. The VAD challenges share certain similarities with vision-based drowning detection tasks, but they also frequently lack a substantial amount of video data of abnormal events. Moreover, drowning is an unusual occurrence [23]. This encourages the use of convolutional auto-encoders for drowning detection, enabling unsupervised learning with better semantic feature extraction [24]. To the best of our knowledge, this work is the first attempt to apply convolutional auto-encoder technology to the problem of drowning detection [25]. Since outside waterways are often watched using high-altitude cameras or drones, small-target recognition becomes critical [26]. Thus, we present a unique deep learning-based method to improve small object recognition; experimental findings show that the suggested strategy performs better than earlier approaches [27].

In recent years, many researchers have proposed different methods for drowning detection using deep learning [37]. Li et al. [38] suggested a method for locating victims at sea using a modified YOLOv3 and a dataset of 6079 images, achieving 72.17% accuracy. Chan et al. [39] presented an NVIDIA Jetson Nano-powered AlexNet model trained on 2333 non-drowning and 1168 drowning images with 85% accuracy. Handalage et al. [40] proposed a three-part rescue system including drowning victim detection, risky activity detection, and rescue drone dispatch. Hasan et al. [41] provided a dataset with three aquatic behaviors and reported accuracies of 96.85% (ResNet50), 83.25% (VGG16), and 96.7% (MobileNet). Wearable sensor-based approaches were also explored [42]. Other works focus on anomaly detection through future-frame prediction [43] and small-object swimmer detection using CNN+SVM [44]. Memory-augmented and prototype-based models have been explored for anomaly detection [45, 46].

2.1. Motivation of the study

Although various approaches have been proposed using CNN architectures, residual models, and handcrafted




Figure 1: Overall proposed framework for drowning detection classification (augmentation → residual feature extraction → fusion → BCO selection → ML classifier → Grad-CAM).

Table 1: Dataset description (original and augmented).

Dataset	#Images	#Classes	Augmented	Train/Test
Drowning classification	678	2	1000/1000	500/500

features, many methods rely on static frame-level analysis, lack multilevel spatiotemporal feature extraction, and use limited fusion/optimization strategies. These limitations affect real-time feasibility, adaptability, and accuracy. To address these gaps, we propose a hybrid DL-optimization framework using Residual Blocks 3 and 4 for multilevel feature extraction, serial feature fusion, and BCO for dimensionality reduction.

3. Modelling of proposed framework

The proposed framework for drowning detection classification is illustrated in Fig. 1. Data augmentation is applied to mitigate class imbalance. Then, two customized models (residual block- 3 and 4) extract complementary features that are fused using a serial fusion strategy. The BCO performs feature selection and dimensionality reduction, and the selected features are fed to ML classifiers. Finally, Grad-CAM is used for interpretability.

3.1. Dataset augmentation

An openly accessible dataset is used in this study [76]. The dataset contains two classes (drowning and not drowning). Dataset statistics are reported in Table 1. The data augmentation increases training diversity and improves generalization by applying transformations such as flipping, rotation, translation, and photometric variation [58, 59]. It is especially beneficial for limited datasets and reduces overfitting by exposing the model to plausible input variations [60, 61]. In this work, augmentation operations (e.g., left/right flip and 90° rotation) are applied until sufficient samples per class are produced and which is illustrated in Fig. 2) [62, 63].

3.2. Architecture of customized residual blocks

Residual blocks mitigate vanishing gradients and enable deeper networks by using skip connections [64, 65, 66]. The Residual Block 3 design used in this study is shown in Fig. 3. Its operation can be expressed as (1).




Figure 2: Illustration of augmentation operations applied to generate diverse training samples.




Figure 3: Customized Residual Block 3 architecture used for feature extraction.




Figure 4: Customized Residual Block 4 architecture used for deeper feature extraction.

$$\text{Out} = \text{Act}(\text{BN}(\text{Conv}(\mathbf{n}; x_1)) + \text{Conv}(\text{Act}(\text{BN}(\text{Conv}(\mathbf{n}; x_2)))); x_3)) \quad (1)$$

Residual block 4 extends residual block 3 by increasing representational capacity through an additional residual transformation branch (Fig. 5) [67] and which is expressed as (2).

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Out} = \text{Act} & \left(\text{BN}(\text{Conv}(\mathbf{n}; x_1)) + \text{Conv}(\text{Act}(\text{BN}(\text{Conv}(\mathbf{n}; x_2)))); x_3) \right. \\ & \left. + \text{Conv}(\text{Act}(\text{BN}(\text{Conv}(\mathbf{n}; x_3)))); x_4) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

3.3. Combined residual blocks 3 and 4: Pseudocode

Algorithm 1 describes the generalized pseudocode for the combined residual blocks.

3.4. Serial-based feature fusion

Serial-based feature fusion integrates complementary features in a sequential manner to enhance representation




Figure 5: Customized residual block 4 architecture used for deeper feature extraction.

Algorithm 1 Generalized pseudocode for combined Residual Blocks 3 and 4

Require: Input images \mathbf{I}
Ensure: Output probability \hat{y}

- 1: $\mathbf{X} \leftarrow \text{CONV2D}(\mathbf{I}, 64, 7 \times 7, \text{stride} = 2)$
- 2: $\mathbf{X} \leftarrow \text{BN}(\mathbf{X})$; $\mathbf{X} \leftarrow \text{ReLU}(\mathbf{X})$
- 3: $\mathbf{X} \leftarrow \text{MAXPOOL}(\mathbf{X}, 3 \times 3, \text{stride} = 2)$
- 4: $\mathbf{S} \leftarrow \mathbf{X}$ ▷ Identity shortcut (RB3)
- 5: $\mathbf{X} \leftarrow \text{Conv2D}(\mathbf{X}, 64, 3 \times 3)$; $\mathbf{X} \leftarrow \text{BN}(\mathbf{X})$; $\mathbf{X} \leftarrow \text{ReLU}(\mathbf{X})$
- 6: $\mathbf{X} \leftarrow \text{Conv2D}(\mathbf{X}, 64, 3 \times 3)$; $\mathbf{X} \leftarrow \text{BN}(\mathbf{X})$
- 7: $\mathbf{X} \leftarrow \text{ADD}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{S})$; $\mathbf{X} \leftarrow \text{ReLU}(\mathbf{X})$
- 8: $\mathbf{S} \leftarrow \text{Conv2D}(\mathbf{X}, 128, 1 \times 1)$ ▷ Projection shortcut (RB4)
- 9: $\mathbf{S} \leftarrow \text{BN}(\mathbf{S})$
- 10: $\mathbf{X} \leftarrow \text{Conv2D}(\mathbf{X}, 128, 3 \times 3)$; $\mathbf{X} \leftarrow \text{BN}(\mathbf{X})$; $\mathbf{X} \leftarrow \text{ReLU}(\mathbf{X})$
- 11: $\mathbf{X} \leftarrow \text{Conv2D}(\mathbf{X}, 128, 3 \times 3)$; $\mathbf{X} \leftarrow \text{BN}(\mathbf{X})$
- 12: $\mathbf{X} \leftarrow \text{ADD}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{S})$; $\mathbf{X} \leftarrow \text{ReLU}(\mathbf{X})$
- 13: $\mathbf{X} \leftarrow \text{GAP}(\mathbf{X})$
- 14: $\hat{y} \leftarrow \text{DENSE}(\mathbf{X}, \text{activation} = \text{sigmoid})$
- 15: **return** \hat{y}

learning [68, 69]. The fusion operation is expressed as:

$$\mathbf{F} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{f}_1 \\ \mathbf{f}_2 \end{bmatrix} \quad (3)$$

In (3), \mathbf{f}_1 and \mathbf{f}_2 denote features extracted from Residual Blocks 3 and 4, respectively.

3.5. Feature optimization using BCO

Chimp Optimization Algorithm (ChOA) is a swarm-intelligence optimizer that models group hunting behavior [71, 72]. In this work, a binary version is employed for feature selection to reduce dimensionality and improve computational efficiency. The position update is performed using Eqs. (4)–(13).

$$\mathbf{s}_1(t+1) = \mathbf{s}_{\text{att}}(t) - \mathbf{k}_1 \odot \mathbf{u}_{\text{att}}. \quad (4)$$

$$\mathbf{u}_{\text{att}} = \mathbf{b}_1 \odot \mathbf{s}_{\text{att}}(t) - \mathbf{n} \odot \mathbf{s}_{\text{chimp}}(t). \quad (5)$$

$$\mathbf{s}_2(t+1) = \mathbf{s}_{\text{bar}}(t) - \mathbf{k}_2 \odot \mathbf{u}_{\text{bar}}. \quad (6)$$

$$\mathbf{u}_{\text{bar}} = \mathbf{b}_2 \odot \mathbf{s}_{\text{bar}}(t) - \mathbf{n} \odot \mathbf{s}_{\text{chimp}}(t). \quad (7)$$

Table 2: Feature fusion results on drowning detection classification dataset

Classifier	Precision	Recall	F1	Acc.	Time (s)
NNN	97.9	97.9	97.9	97.9	11.971
MNN	97.9	97.9	97.9	97.9	9.1934
WNN	97.5	97.5	97.5	97.5	13.437
BNN	97.7	97.1	97.4	97.1	9.1136
TNN	97.2	97.2	97.2	97.2	8.8681

		True Class	
		Drowning	Not Drowning
True Class	Drowning	488 (97.6%)	12 (2.4%)
	Not Drowning	9 (1.8%)	491 (98.2%)
		Drowning	Not Drowning
		Predicted Class	

Figure 6: Confusion matrix of NNN classifier after BCO-based feature selection (488, 12, 9, 491).

$$\mathbf{s}_3(t+1) = \mathbf{s}_{\text{cha}}(t) - \mathbf{k}_3 \odot \mathbf{u}_{\text{cha}}. \quad (8)$$

$$\mathbf{u}_{\text{cha}} = \mathbf{b}_3 \odot \mathbf{s}_{\text{cha}}(t) - \mathbf{n} \odot \mathbf{s}_{\text{chimp}}(t). \quad (9)$$

$$\mathbf{s}_4(t+1) = \mathbf{s}_{\text{drv}}(t) - \mathbf{k}_4 \odot \mathbf{u}_{\text{drv}}. \quad (10)$$

$$\mathbf{u}_{\text{drv}} = \mathbf{b}_4 \odot \mathbf{s}_{\text{drv}}(t) - \mathbf{n} \odot \mathbf{s}_{\text{chimp}}(t). \quad (11)$$

$$\mathbf{s}_{\text{chimp}}(t+1) = \frac{\mathbf{s}_1(t+1) + \mathbf{s}_2(t+1) + \mathbf{s}_3(t+1) + \mathbf{s}_4(t+1)}{4}. \quad (12)$$

$$\mathbf{s}_{\text{chimp}}(t+1) = \frac{\mathbf{s}_1 + \mathbf{s}_2 + \mathbf{s}_3 + \mathbf{s}_4}{4}. \quad (13)$$

4. Experimental Results and Analysis

The training/testing ratio is fixed at 50:50. Hyperparameters include learning rate 0.0002, mini-batch size 32, epochs 100, momentum 0.7223, and SGD optimizer. A 10-fold cross-validation is used. Metrics include accuracy, precision, recall, F1-score, and computational time.

Table 2 reports results for feature fusion. The highest accuracy (97.9%) is achieved by NNN with time 11.971 s and its corresponding confusion matrix is shown in Fig. 6, and further the time comparison in Fig. 8.

Table 3 reports the results after selecting the BCO feature. The best accuracy (96.8%) is achieved by WNN with time 3.9137 s and its corresponding confusion matrix

		True Class			
		Drowning	Not Drowning	Drowning	Not Drowning
True Class	Drowning	484 (96.8%)	16 (3.2%)	16 (3.2%)	484 (96.8%)
	Not Drowning	16 (3.2%)	484 (96.8%)		
Predicted Class					

Figure 7: Confusion matrix of WNN classifier after BCO-based feature selection (484, 16, 16, 484).

Table 3: Feature optimization-(BCO) results on drowning detection classification dataset.

Classifier	Precision	Recall	F1	Acc.	Time (s)
NNN	96.3	96.3	96.3	96.3	4.028
MNN	95.7	95.7	95.7	95.7	3.0174
WNN	96.8	96.8	96.8	96.8	3.9137
BNN	96.3	96.3	96.3	96.3	2.8941
TNN	96.0	96.0	96.0	96.0	2.9428




Figure 8: Time comparison for feature fusion and BCO-based feature selection across different classifiers

Table 4: Comparison with existing drowning detection methods.

Study	Method	Accuracy (%)
Chan et al. [39]	AlexNet	85.0
Handalage et al. [40]	YOLO-based system	85.6
Hasan et al. [41]	MobileNet	96.7
Proposed	RB3+RB4 + Fusion + BCO	97.9

is shown in Fig. 7. Table 4 compares the proposed approach with representative existing methods.




Figure 9: Grad-CAM visualization of the proposed drowning detection framework.

Grad-CAM highlights discriminative regions used by CNNs for classification, improving interpretability [73, 74, 75]. The Grad-CAM visualization for the proposed framework is shown in Fig. 9.

5. Conclusion

A deep learning-based method for early drowning detection has been presented in this paper. Using publicly available dataset, we trained two customized-CNN. Residual blocks 3 and 4 were combined into one model to achieve optimal performance. Binary Chimp Optimization was employed for feature selection, and 97.9% accuracy was achieved, respectively. Residual block 3 was the best model out of them all because it had the highest testing and validation accuracy. The system performed remarkably well in terms of training time and prediction accuracy compared to other methods. The outcomes of the experiments demonstrated that the suggested models could identify drowning incidents in swimming pools with a high degree of certainty. The recommended technique can be used in a range of pools and environments, such as fitness centers, hotels, villas, and schools. This technique can be put in place and linked with either an automated drowning rescue system or an alert system. There are substantial practical implication of this proposed framework. This framework can effectively play role in early and accurate detection of drowning subjects quickly, thus significantly reducing the response time and saving lives. Real time implementation of this life saving system with existing surveillance infrastructure can enhance the safety monitoring both in public and private pool environments. Presented DL model is trained on a single data set. However, the efficiency and reliability of the model can be significantly be validated by training it on more diverse and large dataset. In this context future research should explore the collection of more comprehensive dataset from diverse real world and swimming environments including the variations in day light, crowd density and weather conditions. Briefly this study lays the foundation of a scalable and reliable drowning detection system for the real world implementation.

Declarations and Ethical Statements

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper. The authors declare the following financial interests/personal relationships which may be considered as potential competing interests.

Funding Statement: The author stated that the article is not funded by financially supporting bodies or any association.

Data Availability Statement: The data presented in this research are available on request from the corresponding author.

Acknowledgments: This work is acknowledged to Professor Yan Guotian who provided help during the research and preparation of the manuscript.

Authors contribution: Muhammad Aftab Hayat has implemented the model and written the manuscript. Atif Iqbal helped with data collection, preprocessing, Sana Cheema helped in manuscript formatting while the whole research was conducted under the supervision of Professor Yang Guotian. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript, as written consent.

Authors Consent: All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript, as written consent.

Artificial Intelligence Ethical Statement: During the preparation of this work, the author used AI tools to assist with grammatical corrections. After that, the author reviewed and edited the content as needed and take full responsibility for the content of the published article.

Publisher's note: The Journal and the Publisher remain neutral about jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

References

- [1] Shatnawi M, Albreiki F, Alkhoori A, Alhebshi M. Deep learning and vision-based early drowning detection. *Information*. 2023 Jan 16;14(1):52. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.3390/info14010052>
- [2] Liu T, He X, He L, Yuan F. A video drowning detection device based on underwater computer vision. *IET image processing*. 2023 May;17(6):1905–18. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1049/ipt2.12765>
- [3] He Q, Zhang H, Mei Z, Xu X. High accuracy intelligent real-time framework for detecting infant drowning based on deep learning. *Expert systems with applications*. 2023 Oct 15;228:120204. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eswa.2023.120204>
- [4] He X, Yuan F, Liu T, Zhu Y. A video system based on convolutional autoencoder for drowning detection. *Neural computing and applications*. 2023 Jul;35(21):15791–803. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00521-023-08526-9>
- [5] Sakthivel V, Suriya E, Lee JW, Prakash P. AI-Based Smart Surveillance for Drowning and Theft Detection on Beaches Using Drones. *Drone Technology: Future Trends and Practical Applications*. 2023 May 22:243–56. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1002/9781394168002.ch10>
- [6] Powell L, Polley S, Casey D, Hammond T. The real-time classification of competency swimming activity through machine learning. *International Journal of Aquatic Research and Education*. 2023;14(1):6. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.25035/ijare.14.01.06>
- [7] Dulhare UN, Ali MH. Underwater human detection using faster R-CNN with data augmentation. *Materials today: proceedings*. 2023 Jan 1;80:1940–5. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matpr.2021.05.653>
- [8] Wei E, Ren S. Drowning Detection based on YOLOv8 improved by GP-GAN Augmentation. Available from: https://openreview.net/forum?id=osqgjMNm4_
- [9] García-Alba J, Bárcena JF, Pedraz L, Fernández F, García A, Mecías M, Costas-Veigas J, Samano ML, Szpilman D. SOSeas Web App: An assessment web-based decision support tool to predict dynamic risk of drowning on beaches using deep neural networks. *Journal of Operational Oceanography*. 2023 May 4;16(2):155–74. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1080/1755876X.2021.1999107>
- [10] Laxton V, Howard CJ, Guest D, Crundall D. Intense classification training to increase the detection of drowning swimmers. *Applied Cognitive Psychology*. 2023 Jan;37(1):189–99. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1002/acp.4038>
- [11] Vestnikov R, Stepanov D, Bakhshiev A. Development of neural network algorithms for early detection of drowning in swimming pools. In *2023 International Conference on Industrial Engineering, Applications and Manufacturing (ICIEAM)* 2023 May 15 (pp. 820–824). IEEE. Available from: <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/10139153>
- [12] Harras MS, Saleh S, Battseren B, Hardt W. Vision-based propeller damage inspection using machine learning. *Embedded Selforganising Systems*. 2023 Jul 26;10(7):43–7. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.14464/ess.v10i7.604>
- [13] John V, Kawanishi Y. Combining Knowledge Distillation and Transfer Learning for Sensor Fusion in Visible and Thermal Camera-based Person Classification. In *2023 18th International Conference on Machine Vision and Applications (MVA)* 2023 Jul 23 (pp. 1–5). IEEE. Available from: <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/10215818>
- [14] Pushpavalli M, Abirami P, Sivagami P, Kavitha M, Bhuvaneswari C, Memala WA. Creation of a Drowning Prevention System for Kids Learning in Pool using Computational Technology. In *2023 International Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Knowledge Discovery in Concurrent Engineering (ICECONF)* 2023 Jan 5 (pp. 1–5). IEEE. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICECONF57129.2023.10083598>
- [15] He L, Hou H, Yan Z, Xing G. Demo Abstract: An Underwater Sonar-Based Drowning Detection System. In *2022 21st ACM/IEEE International Conference on Information Processing in Sensor Networks (IPSN)* 2022 May 4 (pp. 493–494). IEEE. Available from: <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/9825955>
- [16] Kulhandjian H, Ramachandran N, Kulhandjian M, D'Amours C. Human activity classification in underwater using sonar and deep learning. In *Proceedings of the 14th International Conference on Underwater Networks & Systems* 2019 Oct 23 (pp. 1–5). Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1145/3366486.3366509>
- [17] He T, Ye X, Wang M. An Improved Swimming Pool Drowning Detection Method Based on YOLOv8. In *2023 IEEE 7th Information Technology and Mechatronics Engineering Conference (ITOEC)* 2023 Sep 15 (Vol. 7, pp. 835–839). IEEE. Available from: <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/10291322>
- [18] Zhou Y, Zhang J, Huang J, Deng K, Zhang J, Qin Z, Wang Z, Zhang X, Tuo Y, Chen L, Chen Y. Digital whole-slide image analysis for automated diatom test in forensic cases of drowning using a convolutional neural network algorithm. *Forensic science international*. 2019 Sep 1;302:109922. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.forsciint.2019.109922>
- [19] Yu W, Xue Y, Knoops R, Yu D, Balmashnova E, Kang X, Falgari P, Zheng D, Liu P, Chen H, Shi H. Automated diatom searching in the digital scanning electron microscopy images of drowning cases using the deep neural networks. *International journal of legal medicine*. 2021 Mar;135(2):497–508. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00414-020-02392-z>
- [20] Jensen MB, Gade R, Moeslund TB. Swimming pool occupancy analysis using deep learning on low quality video. In *Proceed-*

ings of the 1st International Workshop on Multimedia Content Analysis in Sports 2018 Oct 19 (pp. 67-73). Available from:<https://doi.org/10.1145/3265845.3265846>

[21] Bai B, Chen L, Li X. Improved YOLOv7 Fusion Detection Line for Swimming Pool Drowning Detection. In *2023 IEEE 16th International Conference on Electronic Measurement & Instruments (ICEMI)* 2023 Aug 9 (pp. 129-134). IEEE. Available from:[10.1109/ICEMI59194.2023.10270676](https://doi.org/10.1109/ICEMI59194.2023.10270676)

[22] Chungath TT, Nambiar AM, Mittal A. Transfer learning and few-shot learning based deep neural network models for underwater sonar image classification with a few samples. *IEEE Journal of Oceanic Engineering*. 2023 Feb 15;49(1):294-310. Available from:[10.1109/JOE.2022.3221127](https://doi.org/10.1109/JOE.2022.3221127)

[23] Devamane SB, Abhijith BH, Purushotham B, Udayaprasad PK. Titanic Survivors Prediction Analysis Using Machine Learning Algorithms. In *2024 International Conference on Computational Intelligence for Security, Communication and Sustainable Development (CISCSD)* 2024 Apr 25 (pp. 82-87). IEEE. Available from: <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/10899007>

[24] Madan N, Siemon MS, Gjerde MK, Petersson BS, Grotuzas A, Esbensen MA, Nikolov IA, Philipsen MP, Nasrollahi K, Moeslund TB. ThermalSynth: A Novel Approach for Generating Synthetic Thermal Human Scenarios. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Winter Conference on Applications of Computer Vision* 2023 (pp. 130-139). Available from: from: <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/10031139>

[25] Aaltonen T. Consumer Class Side Scanning Sonar Dataset for Human Detection. In *2023 46th MIPRO ICT and Electronics Convention (MIPRO)* 2023 May 22 (pp. 1161-1166). IEEE. Available from: <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/10159954>

[26] Heo JH, Yoon Y, Seo IS, Im SB, San Ko Y, Lee S, Jang SJ. The significance of evaluating sphenoid sinus fluid by postmortem computed tomography in cases of drowning. *Journal of forensic and legal medicine*. 2023 Jul 1;97:102551. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jflm.2023.102551>

[27] Arnold CR. Locating low head dams using a deep learning model in ArcGIS Pro with aerial imagery (Master's thesis, Utah State University). Available from: <https://digitalcommons.usu.edu/etd/8756/>

[28] Hayat MA, Yang G, Iqbal A. Mask R-CNN based real time near drowning person detection system in swimming pools. In *2022 Mohammad Ali Jinnah University International Conference on Computing (MAJICC)* 2022 Oct 27 (pp. 1-6). IEEE. Available from: [10.1109/MAJICC56935.2022.9994135](https://doi.org/10.1109/MAJICC56935.2022.9994135)

[29] He Q, Mei Z, Zhang H, Xu X. Automatic real-time detection of infant drowning using YOLOv5 and faster R-CNN models based on video surveillance. *Journal of social computing*. 2023 Mar;4(1):62-73. Available from: [10.23919/JSC.2023.0006](https://doi.org/10.23919/JSC.2023.0006)

[30] Eng HL. SWIMMER BEHAVIOR ANALYSIS AND EARLY DROWNING DETECTION AT POOL. In *Advanced Topics In Biometrics* 2012 (pp. 313-350). Available from:<https://doi.org/10.1142/7480>

[31] Ogawara T, Usui A, Homma N, Funayama M. Diagnosing drowning in postmortem CT images using artificial intelligence. *The Tohoku Journal of Experimental Medicine*. 2023;259(1):65-75. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1620/tjem.2022.J097>

[32] Gu G, Gan S, Deng J, Du Y, Qiu Z, Liu J, Liu C, Zhao J. Automated diatom detection in forensic drowning diagnosis using a single shot multibox detector with plump receptive field. *Applied soft computing*. 2022 Jun 1;122:108885. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.asoc.2022.108885>

[33] Wang F, Ai Y, Zhang W. Detection of early dangerous state in deep water of indoor swimming pool based on surveillance video. *Signal, image and video processing*. 2022 Feb;16(1):29-37. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11760-021-01953-y>

[34] Shoeibi A, Khodatars M, Jafari M, Ghassemi N, Sadeghi D, Moridian P, Khadem A, Alizadehsani R, Hussain S, Zare A, Sani ZA. Automated detection and forecasting of covid-19 using deep learning techniques: A review. *Neurocomputing*. 2024 Apr 7;577:127317. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neucom.2024.127317>

[35] Fok WW, Chan LC, Chen C. Artificial intelligence for sport actions and performance analysis using recurrent neural network (RNN) with long short-term memory (LSTM). In *Proceedings of the 4th International Conference on Robotics and Artificial Intelligence* 2018 Nov 17 (pp. 40-44). Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1145/3297097.3297115>

[36] Drown DJ, Khoshgoftaar TM, Seliya N. Evolutionary sampling and software quality modeling of high-assurance systems. *IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics-Part A: Systems and Humans*. 2009 May 26;39(5):1097-107. Available from: [10.1109/TSMCA.2009.2020804](https://doi.org/10.1109/TSMCA.2009.2020804)

[37] G D, S S, M J V. Survey on deep learning techniques used for classification of underwater sonar images. *SSRN Electronic Journal*. 2020 Jan 1; Available from: <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3734045>

[38] Li D, Yu L, Jin W, Zhang R, Feng J, Fu N. An improved detection method of human target at sea based on Yolov3. In *2021 IEEE International Conference on Consumer Electronics and Computer Engineering (ICCECE)* 2021 Jan 15 (pp. 100-103). IEEE. Available from:<https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/9342056>

[39] Chan YT, Hou TW, Huang YL, Lan WH, Wang PC, Lai CT. Implementation of deep-learning-based edge computing for preventing drowning. *Computing*. 2020;8:13. Available from: [10.12792/iciae2020.041](https://doi.org/10.12792/iciae2020.041)

[40] Handalage U, Nikapotha N, Subasinghe C, Prasanga T, Thilakarathna T, Kasthurirathna D. Computer Vision Enabled Drowning Detection System. In *2021 3rd International Conference on Advancements in Computing (ICAC)* 2021 Dec 9 (pp. 240-245). IEEE. Available from: <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/9671126>

[41] Hasan S, Joy J, Ahsan F, Khambaty H, Agarwal M, Mounsef J. A Water Behavior Dataset for an Image-Based Drowning Solution. In *2021 IEEE green energy and smart systems conference (IGESSC)* 2021 Nov 1 (pp. 1-5). IEEE. Available from: <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/9618700>

[42] Kharrat M, Wakuda Y, Koshizuka N, Sakamura K. Near drowning pattern recognition using neural network and wearable pressure and inertial sensors attached at swimmer's chest level. In *2012 19th International Conference on Mechatronics and Machine Vision in Practice (M2VIP)* 2012 Nov 28 (pp. 281-284). IEEE. Available from: <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/6484602>

[43] Liu W, Luo W, Lian D, Gao S. Future frame prediction for anomaly detection—a new baseline. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition* 2018 (pp. 6536-6545). Available from: <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/8578782>

[44] Hong D, Kim Y. Efficient swimmer detection algorithm using CNN-based SVM. *Journal of The Korea Society of Computer and Information*. 2017;22(12):79-85. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.9708/jksci.2017.22.12.079>

[45] Park H, Noh J, Ham B. Learning memory-guided normality for anomaly detection. In *InProceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition* 2020 (pp. 14372-14381). Available from: <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/9157029>

[46] Lv H, Chen C, Cui Z, Xu C, Li Y, Yang J. Learning Normal Dynamics in Videos with Meta Prototype Network. *arXiv (Cornell University)*. 2021 Apr 14; Available from: [http://arxiv.org/abs/2104.06689](https://arxiv.org/abs/2104.06689)

[47] Müller AT, Kaymaz AC, Gabernet G, Posselt G, Wessler S, Hiss JA, Schneider G. Sparse Neural Network Models of Antimicrobial Peptide-Activity Relationships. *Molecular informatics*. 2016 Dec;35(11-12):606-14. Available from:<https://doi.org/10.1002/minf.201600029>

[48] Wong WK, Hui JH, Loo CK, Lim WS. Off-time swimming pool surveillance using thermal imaging system. In *2011 IEEE International Conference on Signal and Image Processing Applications (ICSIPA)* 2011 Nov 16 (pp. 366-371). IEEE. Available

from: <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/6144091>

[49] Carballo-Fazanes A, Bierens JJ, International Expert Group to Study Drowning Behaviour. The visible behaviour of drowning persons: A pilot observational study using analytic software and a nominal group technique. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*. 2020 Sep;17(18):6930. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17186930>

[50] Khan A, Fouad MM, Do DT, Almaleh A, Alqahtani AM, Rahman AU. Underwater target detection using deep learning: methodologies, challenges, applications, and future evolution. *IEEE Access*. 2024 Jan 12;12:12618–35. Available from: <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/abstract/document/10398174>

[51] Rostami-Moez M, Kangavari M, Teimori G, Afshari M, Khah ME. Cultural adaptation for country diversity: A systematic review of injury prevention interventions caused by domestic accidents in children under five years old. *Medical journal of the Islamic Republic of Iran*. 2019 Nov 20;33:124. Available from: <10.34171/mjiri.33.124>

[52] Chen L, Zheng M, Duan S, Luo W, Yao L. Underwater target recognition based on improved YOLOv4 neural network. *Electronics*. 2021 Jul 9;10(14):1634. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.3390/electronics10141634>

[53] Tian M, Li X, Kong S, Yu J. Pruning-based YOLOv4 algorithm for underwater garbage detection. In *2021 40th Chinese Control Conference (CCC)* 2021 Jul 26 (pp. 4008–4013). IEEE. Available from: <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/9550592>

[54] Hu X, Liu Y, Zhao Z, Liu J, Yang X, Sun C, Chen S, Li B, Zhou C. Real-time detection of uneaten feed pellets in underwater images for aquaculture using an improved YOLO-V4 network. *Computers and electronics in agriculture*. 2021 Jun 1;185:106135. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compag.2021.10.6135>

[55] Zhao X, Wang X, Du Z. Research on detection method for the leakage of underwater pipeline by YOLOv3. In *2020 IEEE international conference on mechatronics and automation (ICMA)* 2020 Oct 13 (pp. 637–642). IEEE. Available from: <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/9233693>

[56] Xu W, Matzner S. Underwater Fish Detection Using Deep Learning for Water Power Applications. In *2018 International conference on computational science and computational intelligence (CSCI)* 2018 Dec 12 (pp. 313–318). IEEE. Available from: <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/8947884>

[57] Cha YY, Choi W, Büyükköztürk O. Deep learning-based crack damage detection using convolutional neural networks. *Computer-Aided Civil and Infrastructure Engineering*. 2017 May;32(5):361–78. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1111/mice.12263>

[58] Islam MA, Shampa MT. Rip Current: A Potential Hazard Zones Detection in Saint Martin's Island using Machine Learning Approach. *ELCVIA Electronic Letters on Computer Vision and Image Analysis*. 2022 Jan 1;21(2):63–81. Available from: <https://elcvia.cvc.uab.cat/article/view/1604>

[59] Zhang J, Vieira DN, Cheng Q, Zhu Y, Deng K, Zhang J, Qin Z, Sun Q, Zhang T, Ma K, Zhang X. DiatomNet v1. 0: A novel approach for automatic diatom testing for drowning diagnosis in forensically biomedical application. *Computer methods and programs in biomedicine*. 2023 Apr 1;232:107434. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmpb.2023.107434>

[60] Dodon A, Calugar MA, Potolea R, Lemnaru C, Dînșoreanu M, Moca VV, Mureșan RC. A Generative Adversarial Approach for the Detection of Typical and Drowned Action Potentials. In *2021 IEEE 17th International Conference on Intelligent Computer Communication and Processing (ICCP)* 2021 Oct 28 (pp. 477–481). IEEE. Available from: <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/9733643>

[61] Chen H, Yuan H, Qin H, Mu X. Underwater Drowning People Detection Based On Bottleneck Transformer And Feature Pyramid Network. In *2022 IEEE International Conference on Unmanned Systems (ICUS)* 2022 Oct 28 (pp. 1145–1150). IEEE. Available from: <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/9986998>

[62] Rashid AH, Razzak I, Tanveer M, Robles-Kelly A. Ripnet: A lightweight one-class deep neural network for the identification of rip currents. In *International conference on neural information processing* 2020 Nov 17 (pp. 172–179). Cham: Springer International Publishing. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-63823-8_21

[63] Gaviria JF, Escalante-Perez A, Castiblanco JC, Vergara N, Parraga-Garcés V, Serrano JD, Zambrano AF, Giraldo LF. Deep learning-based portable device for audio distress signal recognition in urban areas. *Applied Sciences*. 2020 Oct 23;10(21):7448. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.3390/app10217448>

[64] Nair RS, Dominic S. Deep-learning with context sensitive quantization and interpolation for underwater image compression and quality image restoration. *International Journal of Information Technology*. 2022 Dec;14(7):3803–14. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s41870-022-01020-w>

[65] Rashid AH, Razzak I, Tanveer M, Hobbs M. Reducing rip current drowning: An improved residual based lightweight deep architecture for rip detection. *ISA transactions*. 2023 Jan 1;132:199–207. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.isatra.2022.05.015>

[66] Cepeda-Pacheco JC, Domingo MC. Deep learning and 5G and beyond for child drowning prevention in swimming pools. *Sensors*. 2022 Oct 10;22(19):7684. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.3390/s22197684>

[67] Xiao H, Li Y, Xiu Y, Xia Q. Development of outdoor swimmers detection system with small object detection method based on deep learning. *Multimedia systems*. 2023 Feb;29(1):323–32. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00530-022-00995-7>

[68] Hu B, Gao B, Woo WL, Ruan L, Jin J, Yang Y, Yu Y. A Lightweight Spatial and Temporal Multi-Feature Fusion Network for Defect Detection. *IEEE Transactions on Image Processing*. 2020 Nov 13;30:472–86. Available from: <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/9259247>

[69] Jiang D, Sun B, Su S, Zuo Z, Wu P, Tan X. FASSD: A Feature Fusion and Spatial Attention-Based Single Shot Detector for Small Object Detection. *Electronics*. 2020 Sep 19;9(9):1536. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.3390/electronics9091536>

[70] Lu W, Tan YP. A vision-based approach to early detection of drowning incidents in swimming pools. *Lu W, Tan YP. A vision-based approach to early detection of drowning incidents in swimming pools. IEEE transactions on circuits and systems for video technology*. 2004 Feb 29;14(2):159–78. . 2004 Feb 29;14(2):159–78. Available from: <10.1109/TCST.2003.821980>

[71] Li Q, Long H, Xu Z, Hou J, Cai J. A threat recognition solution of edge data security in industrial internet. *World Wide Web*. 2022 Sep;25(5):2109–38. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11280-022-01054-x>

[72] Merritt SH, Gaffuri K, Zak PJ. Accurately predicting hit songs using neurophysiology and machine learning. *Frontiers in artificial intelligence*. 2023 Jun 20;6:1154663. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.3389/frai.2023.1154663>

[73] Laxton VA. Testing and training lifeguard visual search. Nottingham Trent University (United Kingdom); 2019. Available from: <https://irep.ntu.ac.uk/id/eprint/39591>

[74] Zeng Y, Zhang X, Kawasumi Y, Usui A, Ichiji K, Funayama M, Homma N. Deep learning-based interpretable computer-aided diagnosis of drowning for forensic radiology. In *2021 60th Annual Conference of the Society of Instrument and Control Engineers of Japan (SICE)* 2021 Sep 8 (pp. 820–824). IEEE. Available from: <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/9555359>

[75] Zeng Y, Zhang X, Kawasumi Y, Usui A, Ichiji K, Funayama M, Homma N. A 2.5 D deep learning-based method for drowning diagnosis using post-mortem computed tomography. *IEEE journal of biomedical and health informatics*. 2022 Nov 29;27(2):1026–35. Available from: <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/abstract/document/9965594>

[76] [Online Available]: <https://universe.roboflow.com/classification/drowningclassification>